

Daniel H. Qualls, Bar No. 109036
 Robin G. Workman, Bar No. 145810
 Aviva N. Roller, Bar No. 245415
QUALLS & WORKMAN, LLP
 244 California Street, Suite 410
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: (415) 782-3660
 Facsimile: (415) 788-1028

David Sanford, D.C. Bar No. 457933
 Meenoo Chahbazi, CA Bar No. 233985
SANFORD, WITTELS & HEISLER, LLP
 1666 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 310
 Washington, D.C. 20009
 Telephone: (202) 742-7780
 Facsimile: (202) 742-7776

Grant Morris, D.C. Bar No. 926253
LAW OFFICES OF GRANT E. MORRIS
 1666 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 310
 Washington, D.C. 20009
 Telephone: (202) 742-7783
 Facsimile: (202) 742-7776

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHAVOTNAE GOLDSBY, AND ALL OTHERS
 SIMILARLY SITUATED,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ADECCO, INC, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

No. CV-07-5604 MMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 PLAINTIFF SHAVOTNAE
 GOLDSBY'S MOTION TO FURTHER
 MODIFY PRETRIAL PREPARATION
 ORDER**

DATE: September 26, 2008

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

CTRM: 7

JUDGE: HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY

1 This matter having come on regularly for hearing before the Honorable Maxine M.
2 Chesney in Courtroom 7 of the United States District Court For The Northern District Of
3 California on September 26, 2008, Daniel H. Qualls of Qualls & Workman, L.L.P. appearing on
4 behalf of Plaintiff and Charles Barker, Esq. of Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP,
5 appearing on behalf of Defendant Adecco, Inc.

6 Plaintiff Shavotnae Goldsby seeks further modification of the existing Pretrial Preparation
7 Order, entered by this Court on February 15, 2008, by extending the time permitted for Plaintiff to
8 file her motion for class certification by an additional 30 days to September 30, 2008. Good cause
9 for modification exists pursuant to Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 16(b)(4) given Defendant Adecco's
10 repeated delays in complying with its discovery obligations. Plaintiff did not receive the putative
11 class member names and addresses until nearly a year after the interrogatories seeking it were
12 propounded, leaving less than three weeks between receipt of the class member names and
13 addresses and the deadline to file a motion for class certification. Good cause further exists given
14 the nature of Magistrate Judge Spero's Order that permits Plaintiff to initiate contact with putative
15 class members via letter only, thereby placing further delay on her ability to contact said class
16 members and obtain further evidence in support of class certification.

17 After briefing and argument, and good cause appearing,

18 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

19 The following modifications to the Pretrial Preparation Order are permitted:

- 20 1. Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification is to be filed by September 30, 2008.
 - 21 2. Defendant's Opposition is due October 30, 2008.
 - 22 3. Plaintiff's Reply is due November 13, 2008.
 - 23 4. The Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion will take place November 21, 2008 at 9:00 a.m.
- 24
25
26
27
28

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2

3

4

Dated: _____

5

Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Court Judge

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28